arXiv:1511.03423v3 [math.FA] 18 Mar 2016

SIMILARITY DEGREE OF FOURIER ALGEBRAS

HUN HEE LEE, EBRAHIM SAMEI AND NICO SPRONK

Abstract. We show that for a locally compact group G, amongst a class which contains amenable and small invariant neighbourhood groups, that its Fourier algebra $\mathrm{A}(G)$ satisfies a completely bounded version Pisier's similarity property with similarity degree at most 2. Specifically, any completely bounded homomorphism $\pi : \mathrm{A}(G) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ admits an invertible S in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ for which $\|S\|\|S^{-1}\| \leq \|\pi\|_{\mathrm{cb}}^2$ and $S^{-1}\pi(\cdot)S$ extends to a $*$-representation of the C*-algebra $\mathcal{C}_0(G)$. This significantly improves some results due to Brannan and Samei (*J. Funct. Anal.* 259, 2010) and Brannan, Daws and Samei (*Münster J. Math* 6, 2013). We also note that $\mathrm{A}(G)$ has completely bounded similarity degree 1 if and only if it is completely isomorphic to an operator algebra if and only if G is finite.

In [32], Pisier launched a major assault on a large class of similarity problems including Kadison's similarity problem for C*-algebras and Dixmier's similarity problem for groups. Pisier's partial solution to Dixmier's problem ([32, Theorem 3.2] for discrete groups, which is adapted in the third named author's thesis [34] to general locally compact groups) is encapsulated in the following result.

Theorem 0.1. *Let G be a locally compact group. Any bounded homomorphism of the group algebra to the algebra of bounded operators on a Hilbert space, $\pi : \mathrm{L}^1(G) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, admits an invertible S in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ for which*

(a) *$\pi_S = S\pi(\cdot)S^{-1}$ is a $*$-representation: $\pi_S(f^*) = \pi_S(f)^*$, and with*

(b) *$\|S\|\|S^{-1}\| \leq K\|\pi\|^2$, for some constant K*

if and only if G is amenable.

We shall refer to success of (a) as the *similarity property* for the algebra $\mathrm{L}^1(G)$. The exponent 2 in (b) means that $\mathrm{L}^1(G)$ has *similarity degree* at most 2.

Our goal is to gain an analogue of this similarity theorem for the Fourier algebra $\mathrm{A}(G)$. This algebra was defined by Eymard [13], and is the Pontryagin dual object to $\mathrm{L}^1(G)$. We note that $\mathrm{A}(G)$ is a Banach algebra of functions on G, which is conjugation-closed and admits spectrum G. In particular, $\mathrm{A}(G)$ is a dense subalgebra of $\mathcal{C}_0(G)$. We succeed in our goal, though only under extra hypotheses on the locally compact group G, as specified in Theorem 2.5, below.

One of the most intriguing aspects of Pisier's methods, is that they add to the convincing body of work which indicates the value of considering the operator space

Date: March 21, 2016.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46K10; Secondary 43A30, 46L07, 43A07.

Key words and phrases. Fourier algebra, similarity degree, amenable.

The first named author would like to thank Seoul National University through the Research Resettlement Fund for the new faculty and the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF), grant NRF-2015R1A2A2A01006882. The second named author would like to thank NSERC Grant 409364-2015. The third named author would like thank NSERC Grant 312515-2010.

structure to attack certain questions which appear to have no a priori need for such. However, as we explain below, we shall require a natural operator space structure on the Fourier algebra, to frame our problem.

We observe that for abelian G, the Fourier transform of the involution on $\mathrm{L}^1(\widehat{G})$, $f \mapsto f^*$, is given on $\mathrm{A}(G)$ by complex conjugation, $u \mapsto \bar{u}$. Thus this is the suitable involution on $\mathrm{A}(G)$ which we shall consider for any locally compact G. Our goal is to characterize representations $\pi : \mathrm{A}(G) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ which admit S in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ for which $\pi_S = S\pi(\cdot)S^{-1}$ is a $*$-representation: $\pi_S(\bar{u}) = \pi_S(u)^*$; this is the *similarity property* for $\mathrm{A}(G)$. Because any such homomorphism π must necessarily factor through the minimal operator space of functions $\mathcal{C}_0(G)$ (which is the enveloping C*-algebra of the involutive algebra $\mathrm{A}(G)$ – see begining of §2), π must be completely bounded. Hence we wish to know if all completely bounded homomorphisms of $\mathrm{A}(G)$ enjoy the similarity property. This was the goal of the investigation of Brannan and the second named author [5] and was followed upon by the same two authors in conjunction with M. Daws [4]. They only gained a complete solution for small invariant neighbourhood (SIN) groups in the first paper, and for amenable groups containing open SIN subgroups in the second. We gain a complete solution for a class of groups containing both amenable and SIN groups (Theorem 2.5). In all of their calculations, it was shown that the completely bounded similarity degree is at most 4, i.e. $\|S\|\|S^{-1}\| \leq \|\pi\|_{\mathrm{cb}}^4$ in Theorem 0.1 (b), above, with $\mathrm{A}(G)$ replacing $\mathrm{L}^1(G)$. Our Theorem 2.5 improves their results by showing that the completely bounded similarity degree is at most 2, in cases generalizing theirs. However, we also indicate some cases with completely bounded similarity degree at most 6 (Corollary 2.9); we suspect that completely bounded similarity degree at most 2 holds generally. Two ancillary representations $\check{\pi}$ and π^* are introduced in the article [5], which we mention in Corollary 2.10. Brannan and Samei showed that simultaneous complete boundedness for either of the pairs π and $\check{\pi}$, or π and π^*, characterizes the completely bounded similarity property for $\mathrm{A}(G)$. We augment their result with a larger class of groups. At the end of the paper we further note that the completely bounded similarity degree is exactly 1 only if G is finite.

It is proved by Choi and the second named author [7], that if G contains a discrete copy of a free group, then there are bounded homomorphisms $\pi : \mathrm{A}(G) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ which are not completely bounded. In particular, such homomorphisms cannot be similar to $*$-homomorphisms. With this in mind we shall restrict our attention to homomorphisms which are assumed to be completely bounded. The question of when a bounded homomorphism from $\mathrm{A}(G)$ to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is completely bounded is trivial in the case that G admits an abelian subgroup of finite index, since then $\mathrm{A}(G)$ is naturally a maximal operator space (see Forrest and Wood [18]); but for general (amenable) G, we have no tools to asses the question. We discuss this question briefly in Remark 2.12, below.

The main tool for our similarity result stems from the approach of Pisier to general similarity problems. We offer a purposely limited summary of it in the next section. Our main results are stated and proved in the section which follows.

1. Pisier's approach to similarity problems

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Banach algebra with an operator space structure. In practice, this will usually be a completely contractive Banach algebra, i.e. the mutliplication map extends to a complete contraction from the operator projective tensor product $\mathcal{A}\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{A}$

to $\mathcal{A}$. We shall assume that *there exists at least one injective completely contractive homomorphism* $\pi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, for some Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$.

If $\pi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a completely bounded homomorphism, then

$$\|\pi\|_{\mathrm{cb}} = \sup\left\{\|P_{\mathcal{H}'}\pi(\cdot)|_{\mathcal{H}'}\|_{\mathrm{cb}} : \begin{array}{l}\mathcal{H}' \text{ is a } \pi\text{-invariant closed subspace}\\ \text{of } \mathcal{H} \text{ with } \dim\mathcal{H}' \leq \mathrm{den}(\mathcal{A})\end{array}\right\} \tag{1.1}$$

where $\dim\mathcal{H}'$ denotes the hilbertian dimension, $P_{\mathcal{H}'}$ is the orthogonal projection onto $\mathcal{H}'$ and $\mathrm{den}(\mathcal{A})$ is the smallest cardinality of a dense subset of $\mathcal{A}$. Indeed, for each n and k let $\xi = (\xi_{1k}, \ldots, \xi_{nk})$ and $\eta = (\eta_{1k}, \ldots, \eta_{nk})$ be unit vectors in $\mathcal{H}^n \cong \ell^2_n \otimes^2 \mathcal{H}$ for which $\sup\{|\langle \mathrm{id}_n \otimes\pi(a)\xi|\eta\rangle| : \|\mathrm{id}_n \otimes\pi(a)\| \leq 1\} > 1 - \frac{1}{k}$, and let $\mathcal{H}'$ be the smallest space containing the cyclic subspaces $\pi(\mathcal{A})\xi_{nk}$ and $\pi(\mathcal{A})\eta_{nk}$ and the vectors ξ_{nk}, η_{nk}, themselves.

We fix $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$ with $\dim\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathrm{den}(\mathcal{A})$ and for any $c \geq 1$ we let

$$\Gamma_c(\mathcal{A}) = \{\pi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}) \mid \pi \text{ is a homomorphism with } \|\pi\|_{\mathrm{cb}} \leq c\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{H}_c = \mathcal{H}^{\Gamma_c(\mathcal{A})}$, so $\iota_c = \bigoplus_{\pi\in\Gamma_c(\mathcal{A})} \pi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_c)$ is an injective homomorphism with $\|\iota\|_{\mathrm{cb}} \leq c$, and let $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_c = \overline{\iota_c(\mathcal{A})}$. If $\pi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a homomorphism with $\|\pi\|_{\mathrm{cb}} \leq c$, then (1.1) provides a complete contraction $\tilde{\pi}_c : \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_c \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with $\tilde{\pi}_c \circ \iota_c = \pi$.

The composition of maps on N-fold Haagerup tensor products

$$\mathcal{A}^{N\otimes^h} \xrightarrow{\iota_c^{N\otimes}} \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_c^{N\otimes^h} \xrightarrow{\text{multiplication}} \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_c$$

has completely bounded norm no larger than c^N, thanks to the fact that the Haagerup tensor product completely contractively linearizes operator multiplication. Hence the map

$$m_{N,c} : \mathcal{A}^{N\otimes^h} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_c,\ m_{N,c}(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_N) = \frac{1}{c^N}\iota_c(u_1)\ldots\iota_c(u_N) \tag{1.2}$$

is a complete contraction.

We can now state, for our context, the easy direction of [32, Theorem 2.5]. This is all that we shall require in the sequel.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Banach algebra and an operator space and N a positive integer. Suppose the map $m_{N,1} : \mathcal{A}^{N\otimes^h} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_1$ is a complete surjection, i.e. there is a $K > 0$ such that for any n, the unit ball $\mathrm{b}_1(M_n \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_1)$ is contained in the image of the radius K-ball, $\mathrm{id}_n \otimes m_{N,1}(\mathrm{b}_K(M_n \otimes \mathcal{A}^{N\otimes^h}))$. Then any completely bounded homomorphism $\pi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ admits an invertible operator S in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ for which*

$$\left\|S\pi(\cdot)S^{-1}\right\|_{\mathrm{cb}} \leq 1 \text{ and } \|S\|\|S^{-1}\| \leq K\|\pi\|^N_{\mathrm{cb}}.$$

Proof. Let us give a short proof for conveneince of the reader. We wish to establish the existence of a completley bounded homomorphism $\iota_{1,c} : \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_1 \to \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_c$, which extends $\iota_c : \mathcal{A} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_c$. We observe that on algebraic tensor products we have the following equality of (a priori unbounded) operators

$$\iota_{1,c} \circ m_{N,1}|_{\mathcal{A}^{N\otimes}} = c^N m_{N,c}|_{\mathcal{A}^{N\otimes}} : \mathcal{A}^{N\otimes} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_c$$

where $m_{N,c}$ is defined in (1.2), above. Since $m_{N,c} : \mathcal{A}^{N\otimes^h} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_c$ is a complete contraction, $\iota_{1,c} \circ m_{N,1}$ extends to a completely bounded map on $\mathcal{A}^{N\otimes^h}$. Furthermore,

since $m_{N,1} : \mathcal{A}^{N\otimes^h} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_1$ is assumed to be completely surjective, with matricial K-balls mapped onto matricial unit balls, we find that

$$\|\iota_{1,c}\|_{\mathrm{cb}} \leq K \|\iota_{1,c} \circ m_{N,1}\|_{\mathrm{cb}} = K \left\|c^N m_{N,c}\right\|_{\mathrm{cb}} \leq K c^N.$$

Thus we have a completely bounded homomorphism $\iota_{1,c} : \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_1 \to \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_c$, with $\iota_{1,c} \circ \iota_1 = \iota_c$.

We recall Paulsen's similarity theorem [31], that any completely bounded homomorphism from an operator algebra, $\sigma : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, admits an invertible S in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ for which $\sigma_S = S\sigma(\cdot)S^{-1}$ is a complete contraction and $\|S\|\|S^{-1}\| = \|\sigma\|_{\mathrm{cb}}$. A non-unital version of this may be found in [2, Theorem 5.1.2]. Hence for any homomorphism $\pi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with $\|\pi\|_{\mathrm{cb}} \leq c$, the completely bounded homomorphism $\tilde{\pi}_c \circ \iota_{1,c} : \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_1 \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ admits an S in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ for which

$$\left\|S\tilde{\pi}_c \circ \iota_{1,c}(\cdot)S^{-1}\right\|_{\mathrm{cb}} \leq 1 \text{ and } \|S\|\|S^{-1}\| = \|\tilde{\pi}_c \circ \iota_{1,c}\|_{\mathrm{cb}} \leq \|\iota_{1,c}\|_{\mathrm{cb}}.$$

In particular, since $(\tilde{\pi}_c \circ \iota_{1,c}) \circ \iota_1 = \tilde{\pi}_c \circ \iota_c = \pi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and ι_1 is a complete contraction, we see that

$$\left\|S\pi(\cdot)S^{-1}\right\|_{\mathrm{cb}} \leq 1 \text{ and } \|S\|\|S^{-1}\| \leq \|\iota_{1,c}\|_{\mathrm{cb}} \leq Kc^N.$$

The choice of $c = \|\pi\|_{\mathrm{cb}}$ gives the desired inequalities. □

We shall take the liberty to refer to the smallest N, satisfying the hypotheses of the theorem above, the *completely bounded similarity degree*, $d_{\mathrm{cb}}(\mathcal{A})$ of the Banach algebra and operator space $\mathcal{A}$. This differs mildly from Pisier's definition in [32], though coincides in cases where $\mathcal{A}$ has a bounded approximate identity, for example.

We notice that $d_{\mathrm{cb}}(\mathcal{A}) = 1$ entails that $\iota_1 : \mathcal{A} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_1$ is a completely contractive complete isomorphism. Hence the conclusion of Theorem 1.1 is simply an expression of Paulsen's similarity theorem.

Remark 1.2. Since contractive representations of a locally compact group G on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is necessarily unitary, and such representations are in bijective correspondence with homomorphisms of $\mathrm{L}^1(G)$ with non-degenerate range, we have $\widetilde{\mathrm{L}^1}(G)_1 \cong \mathrm{C}^*(G)$, where $\mathrm{L}^1(G)$ is equipped with the maximal operator space structure. The third named author, [35, Theorem 6.10], showed that $m_{2,1} : \mathrm{L}^1(G) \otimes^h \mathrm{L}^1(G) \to \mathrm{C}^*(G)$ is a complete quotient map when G is amenable. Hence a proof of the easy direction of Theorem 0.1, with constant $K = 1$, follows from Theorem 1.1. Of course the original proof of Dixmier [10] is more elementary.

We note that $d_{\mathrm{cb}}(\mathrm{L}^1(G)) = 1$ only when G is finite. Indeed, $\mathrm{L}^1(G)$ is Arens regular exactly when G is finite, thanks to Young [37]; and an operator algebra is always Arens regular, thanks to Civin and Yood [8].

2. Similarity for Fourier algebras

Let G be a locally compact group. As alluded to in the introduction, $\mathcal{C}_0(G)$ is the enveloping C*-algebra of $\mathrm{A}(G)$. Indeed, any C*-algebra generated by a $*$-homomorphism of $\mathrm{A}(G)$ admits spectrum which is a subset of G. To use the result of the prior section we need to identify, for certain locally compact groups, the universal algebra generated by completely contractive homomorphisms of their Fourier algebras. The operator space structure on $\mathrm{A}(G)$ is always the one arising from the duality relation $\mathrm{A}(G)^* \cong \mathrm{VN}(G)$; see [12, §3.2].

Proposition 2.1. *Let G be a locally compact group for which the connected component of the identity G_e is amenable. Then*

(a) *every completely contractive homomorphism $\pi : \mathrm{A}(G) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a $*$-homomorphism.*

In particular, we have that

(b) *the inclusion map $j : \mathrm{A}(G) \to \mathcal{C}_0(G)$ extends to a complete isometry $\tilde{\jmath}_1 : \widetilde{\mathrm{A}}(G)_1 \to \mathcal{C}_0(G)$.*

Moreover, property (b) implies property (a).

Proof. If G is amenable, then (a) is the result [4, Theorem 8.1]. Now if G_e is amenable. It is well known that G/G_e contains a compact open subgroup, which is hence the image of an open subgroup H of G which is an amenable extension of a compact group, thus itself amenable. Any completely contractive representation π restricts to a completely contractive representation $\pi|_{\mathrm{A}(H)} : \mathrm{A}(H) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, which is hence a $*$-representation. Thus it follows from [5, Lemma 24] that π itself must be a $*$-representation. Hence (a) holds in this case.

Given a completely contractive homomorphism π, if (a) holds then $\overline{\pi(\mathrm{A}(G))}$ is a commutative C*-algebra, hence a quotient of the enveloping C*-algebra $\mathcal{C}_0(G)$. In particular, $\widetilde{\mathrm{A}}(G)_1 = \overline{\iota_1(\mathrm{A}(G))}$ enjoys this property. Hence, the completely contractive inclusion homomorphism j extends to a homomorphism of C*-algebras $\tilde{\jmath}_1$. Since $\mathrm{A}(G)$ has spectrum G, so too must $\widetilde{\mathrm{A}}(G)_1$, and thus $\ker \tilde{\jmath}_1$ is the radical of $\widetilde{\mathrm{A}}(G)_1$, hence $\{0\}$. Thus $\tilde{\jmath}_1$ is an isomorphism of C*-algebras, whence a complete isometry.

If (b) holds, then $\iota_1 = \tilde{\jmath}_1^{-1} \circ j$, and hence is a $*$-homomorphism. It is a standard exercise that any contractive homomorphism from a C*-algebra to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a $*$-homomorphism (indeed one cuts down to the non-degenerate situation, extends to the unitization and observes that unitaries are sent to unitaries). Hence for any completely contractive homomorphism $\pi : \mathrm{A}(G) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, the extension $\tilde{\pi}_1 : \widetilde{\mathrm{A}}(G)_1 \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a $*$-homomorphism, thus so too is $\pi = \tilde{\pi}_1 \circ \iota_1$. □

Remark 2.2. **(i)** If G is totally disconnected, then the above result is elementary. Indeed, there is a neighbourhood base $\{H_\beta : \beta \in B\}$ for the topology of G consisting of open subgroups. Since $\mathrm{span}\{1_{sH_\beta} : s \in G, \beta \in B\}$ is dense in $\mathrm{A}(G)$, and each $\iota_1(1_{sH_\beta})$ is a contractive idempotent in an operator algebra, it follows that $\widetilde{\mathrm{A}}(G)_1$ is a C*-algebra, whence isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}_0(G)$.

(ii) If the connected component of the identity of G is a small invariant neighbourhood group, i.e. a SIN group, then it is shown in [5, §6] that any completely contractive representation $\pi : \mathrm{A}(G) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is automatically a $*$-representation. Notice that a connected SIN group is the direct product of a vector group and a compact group thanks to the Freudenthal-Weil theorem ([11, 16.4.6]), and, in particular, is amenable.

We recall that bounded measures act on $\mathrm{L}^1(G)$ by convolution. In particular, for a Dirac measure δ_x and v in $\mathrm{L}^1(G)$ we have for a.e. y in G that $\delta_x * v(y) = v(x^{-1}y)$ and $v * \delta_x = \frac{1}{\Delta(x)} v(yx^{-1})$, where Δ is the Haar modular function.

Definition 2.3. A group has the *quasi-small invariant neighbourhood property* (in short, is QSIN) provided that $\mathrm{L}^1(G)$ admits a quasi-central bounded approximate

identity, i.e. a bounded approximate identity (v_α) for which

$$\lim_\alpha \|\delta_x * v_\alpha * \delta_{x^{-1}} - v_\alpha\|_1 = 0 \text{ uniformly for } x \text{ in compact subsets of } G. \tag{2.1}$$

Remark 2.4. (i) The QSIN property implies that $\mathrm{L}^\infty(G)$ admits a conjugation invariant mean which resticts on continuous functions to evaluation at e; see Losert and Rindler [26]. The property of admitting a conjugation invariant mean is called *inner amenability*; this is not to be confused with the terminology in much of the literature in which a discrete group is called inner amenable if $\ell^\infty(G)$ admits a non-trivial (i.e. not extending the evaluation at e) conjugation invariant mean.

Any amenable or SIN group is QSIN; see [26] and Mosak [28], respectively. The connected component of the identity of a QSIN group must be amenable, as is shown in [26]. In particular, a connected group enjoys the QSIN property if and only if it is amenable.

(ii) A result of Lau and Paterson ([23, Corollary 3.2]) tells us that *G is amenable if and only if* $\mathrm{VN}(G)$ *is injective and G is inner amenable.* Consider a non-compact reductive group over the p-adic numbers, $\mathrm{G}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, which is non-amenable and totally disconnected. Any such group is a type I group by a result of Bernšteĭn [1], and hence admits an injective von Neumann algebra (see the survey paper [30]). Hence $\mathrm{G}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ provides a totally disconnected non-inner amenable, hence non-QSIN, group.

(iii) The existence of an open normal QSIN (even compact abelian) subgroup is not sufficient for a group to be QSIN. We note two classes of examples shown in [26] of non-QSIN groups. For each $n > 1$, consider $\mathbb{T}^n \rtimes \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$. Also, if Γ is a non-amenable discrete group and K any compact group, consider the wreath product $K^\Gamma \rtimes \Gamma$. In each example, the normalized Haar measure on $\mathbb{T}^n$, respectively K^Γ, provides a conjugation-invariant mean for the group, hence each group is inner amenable.

(iv) Let us note another class of non-QSIN, examples. Let K be any local field and Γ be a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(K)$, containing a countable subgroup Γ_0 whose closure $\overline{\Gamma_0}$ in $\mathrm{GL}_2(K)$ admits a non-solvable open subgroup. Consider $G = K^2 \rtimes \Gamma$, where Γ is treated as a discrete group. If there were a net (u_α) satisfying (2.1), we may suppose its elements are supported in the open subgroup K^2; see (2.4), below. Any cluster point of this net in $\mathrm{L}^\infty(K^2)^*$ would restrict to an Γ-invariant mean. Now $K^2 \setminus \{0\} \cong \mathrm{GL}_2(K)/S$, where S is the stabilizer subgroup of some point in $K^2 \setminus \{0\}$, which is solvable, hence amenable. The Connes-Sullivan-Zimmer result of Breuillard and Gelander [6, 1.10] tells us that $\mathrm{SL}_2(K)/S$ is not an amenable Γ_0-space, and hence $\mathrm{L}^\infty(K^2)$ cannot admit a Γ-invariant mean.

The following is the main result of this note.

Theorem 2.5. *Let G be a QSIN group. Then for any completely bounded homomorphism $\pi : \mathrm{A}(G) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ there is an invertible S in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ for which*

(a) *$\pi_S = S\pi(\cdot)S^{-1}$ is a $*$-representation of $\mathrm{A}(G)$: $\pi_S(\bar{u}) = \pi_S(u)^*$; and*

(b) $\|S\|\|S^{-1}\| \leq \|\pi\|_{\mathrm{cb}}^2$.

Remark 2.6. For a QSIN group, we have that $\mathrm{A}(G)$ satisfies the similarity property, with completely bounded similarity degree $d_{\mathrm{cb}}(\mathrm{A}(G)) \leq 2$. Hence our result significantly improves upon [5, Theorem 20] where, for SIN groups, the estimate $d_{\mathrm{cb}}(\mathrm{A}(G)) \leq 4$ is achieved; and upon [4, Theorem 8.2] where the same is shown for any amenable group admitting an open SIN-subgroup.

Proof. The QSIN property implies that the connected component of G is amenable, as noted in Remark 2.4 (i), above. Thanks to Theorem 1.1 and the fact that $\widetilde{\mathrm{A}}(G)_1 \cong \mathcal{C}_0(G)$ for any group whose connected component of the identity is amenable as shown in Proposition 2.1, it suffices to show that

$$m = m_{2,1} : \mathrm{A}(G) \otimes^h \mathrm{A}(G) \to \mathcal{C}_0(G) \text{ is a complete quotient map.} \tag{2.2}$$

It is easy to see that the adjoint of this map, $m^* : \mathrm{M}(G) \to \mathrm{VN}(G) \otimes^{eh} \mathrm{VN}(G) \subset \mathcal{CB}^\sigma(\mathcal{B}(\mathrm{L}^2(G))$ – see the article of Blecher and Smith [3] – is given by

$$m^*(\mu) = \int_G \lambda(s) \otimes \lambda(s)\, d\mu(s)$$

i.e. $\langle m^*(\mu) T\xi | \eta \rangle = \int_G \langle \lambda(s) T \lambda(s) \xi | \eta \rangle$ for T in $\mathcal{B}(\mathrm{L}^2(G))$ and ξ, η in $\mathrm{L}^2(G)$. Hence by duality – see, for example, [12, 4.1.9] – statement (2.2) will hold once we verify that

$$\text{the map } m^* \text{ is completely isometric.} \tag{2.3}$$

We observe that m^* is clearly contractive, and hence completely contractive as $\mathrm{M}(G)$ is a maximal operator space. Hence it suffices to exhibit a subspace $\mathcal{S}$ of $\mathcal{B}(\mathrm{L}^2(G))$ for which $m^* : \mathrm{M}(G) \to \mathcal{CB}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{B}(\mathrm{L}^2(G)))$ is a complete isometry, to see that m^* itself is a complete isometry.

To prove (2.3), we shall require a particular net of unit vectors in $\mathrm{L}^2(G)$, also arising from the QSIN assumption. Thanks to Stokke [36, Theorem 2.6], any QSIN group admits a net (v_α) in $\mathrm{L}^1(G)$ satisfying (2.1) and also the conditions

$$v_\alpha \geq 0 \text{ for each } \alpha, \text{ and } \operatorname{supp} v_\alpha \searrow \{e\} \tag{2.4}$$

where by the latter condition, we mean that given any neighbourhood of the identity, $\operatorname{supp} v_\alpha$ is eventually contained in that neighbourhood. We may normalize so that $\int_G v_\alpha = 1$ for each α. We set $u_\alpha = \frac{1}{2}(v_\alpha + v_\alpha^*)$, so that

$$u_\alpha = u_\alpha^*,\ u_\alpha \geq 0 \text{ and } \int_G u_\alpha = 1 \text{ for each } \alpha, \text{ and } \operatorname{supp} u_\alpha \searrow \{e\}.$$

Now let

$$\xi_\alpha = u_\alpha^{1/2} \text{ in } \mathrm{L}^2(G).$$

It is clear that each ξ_α is a unit vector. Let $\lambda, \rho : G \to \mathcal{B}(\mathrm{L}^2(G)$ denote the left and right regular representations. Using the inequality for positive scalars $|a-b|^2 \leq |a^2 - b^2|$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda(x)\rho(x)\xi_\alpha - \xi_\alpha\|_2^{\,2} &= \int_G |\sqrt{\Delta(x)}\xi_\alpha(x^{-1}sx) - \xi_\alpha(s)|^2\, ds \\ &\leq \int_G |\Delta(x) u_\alpha(x^{-1}sx) - u_\alpha(s)|\, ds = \|\delta_x * u_\alpha * \delta_{x^{-1}} - u_\alpha\|_1 \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

which tends to 0 in α, uniformly for x in compact subsets of G.

We let U denote the unitary on $\mathrm{L}^2(G)$ given by $U\xi = \frac{1}{\Delta^{1/2}}\check{\xi}$, i.e. $U\xi(s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta(s)}}\xi(s^{-1})$. Notice that $U^* = U$ and U intertwines the right and left regular representations: $U\lambda(t) = \rho(t)U$. Also, for the vectors ξ_α, above, $U\xi_\alpha = \xi_\alpha$. If

$f \in \mathcal{C}_0(G)$, we let $M(f)$ denote the operator of multiplication by f on $\mathrm{L}^2(G)$. It is standard to compute that

$$\lambda(t)UM(f)\lambda(t)\xi(s) = f(s^{-1}t)\sqrt{\frac{\Delta(t)}{\Delta(s)}}\xi(t^{-1}s^{-1}t),$$
$$\text{i.e. } \lambda(t)UM(f)\lambda(t) = M_{\delta_t * \check{f}}U\lambda(t)\rho(t).$$

Hence it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle m^*(\mu)(UM(f))\xi_\alpha|\xi_\alpha\rangle &= \int_G\int_G f(s^{-1}t)\sqrt{\frac{\Delta(t)}{\Delta(s)}}\xi_\alpha(t^{-1}s^{-1}t)\overline{\xi_\alpha(s)}\,d\mu(t)\,ds \\ &= \int_G\int_G f(s^{-1}t)\sqrt{\frac{\Delta(t)}{\Delta(s)}}\xi_\alpha(t^{-1}s^{-1}t)\xi_\alpha(s)\,ds\,d\mu(t) \qquad (2.6)\\ &= \int_G\left[\int_G \delta_t * \check{f}[\lambda(t)\rho(t)\xi_\alpha]\xi_\alpha\right]d\mu(t)\end{aligned}$$

where we have used Fubini's theorem in the second equation. Let us write

$$w_{t,\alpha} = [\lambda(t)\rho(t)\xi_\alpha]\xi_\alpha \text{ in } \mathrm{L}^1(G).$$

We have that

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_G(\delta_t * \check{f})w_{t,\alpha} - f(t)\right| &= \left|\int_G\left[(\delta_t * \check{f})w_{t,\alpha} - f(t)u_\alpha\right]\right| \\ &\le \int_G\Big[|\delta_t * \check{f}||w_{t,\alpha} - u_\alpha| + |\delta_t * \check{f} - f(t)|u_\alpha\Big] \\ &\le \|f\|_\infty\int_G|\lambda(t)\rho(t)\xi_\alpha - \xi_\alpha|\xi_\alpha + \sup_{s\in\operatorname{supp} u_\alpha}|f(s^{-1}t) - f(t)|\end{aligned}$$

Thanks to Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and (2.5), as well as uniform continuity of f and (2.4), this quantity tends to zero in α, uniformly for t in compact subsets of G. It other words

$$\lim_\alpha\int_G \delta_t * \check{f}[\lambda(t)\rho(t)\xi_\alpha]\xi_\alpha = f(t)$$

uniformly for t in compact subsets of G, and, since this net is uniformly bounded, the quantities of (2.6) tend in α to $\int_G f\,d\mu$. This proves that the map $m^* : \mathrm{M}(G) \to \mathcal{B}(UM(\mathcal{C}_0(G)), \mathcal{B}(\mathrm{L}^2(G)))$ is an isometry.

Let us now increase dimension. Given μ in $M_n \otimes \mathrm{M}(G)$ and f in $M_m \otimes \mathcal{C}_0(G)$ we consider arbitrary unit vectors ξ and η in $\ell^2_n \otimes^2 \ell^2_m$ (nm-dimensional Hilbert space). As above we have that

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_\alpha\langle \mathrm{id}_n \otimes \mathrm{id}_m \otimes m^*(\mu)[\mathrm{id}_m \otimes UM(f)]\xi \otimes \xi_\alpha|\eta \otimes \xi_\alpha\rangle \\ &\qquad= \lim_\alpha\left\langle \mathrm{id}_m \otimes \mathrm{id}_n\left(\int_G(\delta_t * \check{f})w_{t,\alpha} \otimes d\mu\right)F\xi\,\middle|\,F\eta\right\rangle \\ &\qquad= \left\langle \mathrm{id}_m \otimes \mathrm{id}_n\left(\int_G f \otimes d\mu\right)F\xi\,\middle|\,F\eta\right\rangle\end{aligned}$$

where $F : \ell^2_n \otimes^2 \ell^2_m \to \ell^2_m \otimes^2 \ell^2_n$ is the flip map, and, in the doubly indexed matrix notation of Effros and Ruan [12], $\int_G f \otimes d\mu = \left[\int_G f_{kl}\,d\mu_{ij}\right]$ in M_{mn}. Thus $\mathrm{id}_n \otimes m^* : M_n \otimes \mathrm{M}(G) \to \mathcal{B}(M_m \otimes UM(\mathcal{C}_0(G)), M_n \otimes M_m \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathrm{L}^2(G)))$ is an isometry

for each n, m. This shows that $m^* : \mathrm{M}(G) \to \mathcal{CB}(UM(\mathcal{C}_0(G)), \mathcal{B}(\mathrm{L}^2(G)))$ is a complete isometry. □

Remark 2.7. (i) The relation (2.3) stands in curious comparison with a result of Neufang, Ruan and the third named author [29], which is equivalent to having that

$$\mu \mapsto \int_G \lambda(s) \otimes \lambda(s^{-1})\, d\mu : \mathrm{M}(G) \to \mathrm{VN}(G) \otimes^{eh} \mathrm{VN}(G)$$

is a complete isometry for any locally compact group. The unique isometric linear weak*-continuous extension of $\lambda(s) \mapsto \lambda(s^{-1})$ on $\mathrm{VN}(G)$, which is the adjoint of $u \mapsto \check{u}$ on $\mathrm{A}(G)$, is completely bounded only when G is virtually abelian, thanks to an observation of Forrest and Runde [17, Proposition 1.5]. In particular, we know of no means of deducing our Theorem 2.5 from this result.

(ii) If G is amenable, we may gain Theorem 2.5 (a) from the Theorem of Ruan [33], showing that $\mathrm{A}(G)$ is operator amenable (which was used in [4], and hence implicitly in the proof of Proposition 2.1, above) and similarity theorem of Marcoux and Popov [27], for commutative operator amenable operator algebras. Marcoux and Popov use the stronger assumption of amenability, instead of operator amenability, in their statements. Hence we give a sketch of proof.

First, thanks to [33], $\mathrm{A}(G)$ is operator amenable. Hence the commutative operator algebra $\mathcal{A} = \overline{\pi(\mathrm{A}(G))}$ is operator amenable and admits a bounded approximate diagonal (W_α) in its operator projective tensor product $\mathcal{A}\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{A}$. Let $\rho : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ be any non-degenerate completely bounded homomorphism. We hence consider $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ as a $\mathcal{A}\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{A}$-module via $(A \otimes B) \cdot S = \rho(A)S\rho(B)$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a closed ρ-invariant subspace of $\mathcal{K}$ with orthogonal projection P. It is easy to check that any cluster point E of the net $(W_\alpha \cdot P)$ is an idempotent in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ with range $\mathcal{M}$ and is an $\mathcal{A}$-module map: $E\rho(A)\xi = \rho(A)E\xi$ for A in $\mathcal{A}$ and ξ in $\mathcal{K}$. (This is Helemskiĭ's splitting technique; see [20, 9].) Hence $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies what we might call the *completely bounded total reduction property*. In particular, for each A in $\mathcal{A}$, the graph $\mathcal{M}_A = \{(\xi, A\xi) : \xi \in \mathcal{H}\} \subset \mathcal{H}^2$ is invariant under the completely bounded homomorphism $B \mapsto (B\xi, AB\xi)$ of $\mathcal{A}$ on $\mathcal{M}_A$. The reducibility of modules $\mathcal{M}_A$ is what is required to give the proof of the main result of [27], that there is a T in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ for which $T\mathcal{A}T^{-1}$ is a C*-algebra. Hence $\pi_T = T\pi(\cdot)T^{-1} : \mathrm{A}(G) \to T\mathcal{A}T^{-1}$ is a $*$-homomorphism. Indeed, if χ is a character on $T\mathcal{A}T^{-1}$, then $\pi_T^*(\chi) : \mathrm{A}(G) \to \mathbb{C}$ is given by pointwise evaluation at an an element x in G. Hence, for u in $\mathrm{A}(G)$, $\chi \circ \pi_T(\bar{u}) = \bar{u}(x) = \overline{u(x)} = \overline{\chi \circ \pi_T(u)} = \chi(\pi_T(u)^*)$. As this holds for every character, we see that $\pi_T(\bar{u}) = \pi_T(u)^*$.

This technique does not give us obvious means to determine if $d_{\mathrm{cb}}(\mathrm{A}(G)) \leq 2$.

We wish to give a modest partial extension of Theorem 2.5. The following lemma will be required for this. We shall use, for an operator space $\mathcal{V}$, the spaces $T_n(\mathcal{V})$ of Effros and Ruan [12]. We shall not require their exact definition, but shall require the duality formulas $T_n(\mathcal{V})^* \cong M_n \otimes \mathcal{V}^*$ and $(M_n \otimes \mathcal{V})^* \cong T_n(\mathcal{V}^*)$ ([12, 4.1.1]) and the fact that each $T_n(\mathcal{V}_0) \subset T_n(\mathcal{V})$ isometrically, if $\mathcal{V}_0$ is a subspace of $\mathcal{V}$ ([12, 4.1.8 (ii)]). We shall also require the *reduced Fourier-Stieltjes algebra* $\mathrm{B}_r(G)$. This is the algebra of functions on G which consists of all matrix coefficients of all representations weakly contained in the left regular representation. Further, it may be identified with the dual of the reduced C*-algebra $\mathrm{C}_r^*(G)$, and satisfies that $\mathrm{A}(G)$ is $\sigma(\mathrm{B}_r(G), \mathrm{C}_r^*(G))$-dense in $\mathrm{B}_r(G)$ ([13, (2.16) & (3.4)]).

Lemma 2.8. *Let $\pi : \mathrm{A}(G) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a non-degenerate completely bounded homomorphism. Then there is a homomorphism $\bar{\pi} : \mathrm{B}_r(G) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\bar{\pi}|_{\mathrm{A}(G)} = \pi$ and $\|\bar{\pi}\|_{\mathrm{cb}} = \|\pi\|_{\mathrm{cb}}$.*

Proof. The structure of this proof is based on some ideas of Lau and Losert in [22, §4]. Let us first show that for any n, any u in $M_n \otimes \mathrm{B}_r(G)$ may be $\sigma(M_n \otimes \mathrm{B}_r(G), T_n(\mathrm{C}_r^*(G)))$-approximated by a net (u_α) from $M_n \otimes \mathrm{A}(G)$ for which each $\|u_\alpha\|_{M_n\otimes \mathrm{A}} = \|u\|_{M_n\otimes \mathrm{B}_r}$. Indeed, The Hahn-Banach theorem provides $\tilde{u}$ in $M_n \otimes (\mathrm{VN}(G)^*) \cong T_n(\mathrm{VN}(G))^*$ which resticts to u on $T_n(\mathrm{C}_r^*(G))$. Then Goldstine's theorem provides a net (u'_α) from $M_n \otimes \mathrm{A}(G)$, which $\sigma(M_n \otimes (\mathrm{VN}(G)^*), T_n(\mathrm{VN}(G)))$-approaches u, and which satisfies $\|u'_\alpha\|_{M_n\otimes \mathrm{A}} \leq \|u\|_{M_n\otimes \mathrm{B}_r}$ for each α. Let each $u_\alpha = \frac{\|u\|_{M_n\otimes \mathrm{B}_r}}{\|u'_\alpha\|_{M_n\otimes \mathrm{A}}} u'_\alpha$.

Now suppose $n = 1$. We let $u \in \mathrm{B}_r(G)$ and (u_α) be the net from $\mathrm{A}(G)$ which is promised above. A theorem of Granirer and Leinert [19] tells us that $\lim_\alpha \|u_\alpha v - uv\|_{\mathrm{A}} = 0$ for each v in $\mathrm{A}(G)$. The net $(\pi(u_\alpha))$, being bounded in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, admits a weak* cluster point $\bar{\pi}(u)$, so $\bar{\pi}(u) = \text{w*-}\lim_\beta \pi(u_{\alpha(\beta)})$ for some subnet $(u_{\alpha(\beta)})$. Then for v in $\mathrm{A}(G)$ we have $\bar{\pi}(u)\pi(v) = \text{w*-}\lim_\beta \pi(u_{\alpha(\beta)}v) = \pi(uv)$, which, by nondegeneracy of π tells us that $\bar{\pi}(u)$ is the unique cluster point of $(\pi(u_\alpha))$. Furthermore, if also $u' \in \mathrm{B}_r(G)$, then we likwise see that $\bar{\pi}(u')\bar{\pi}(u)\pi(v) = \bar{\pi}(u')\pi(uv) = \pi(u'uv)$, so $\bar{\pi} : \mathrm{B}_r(G) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a homomorphism.

To compute the norm, we let n be arbitrary, and notice that for u and (u_α) as in the first paragraph, we have

$$\|\mathrm{id}_n \otimes \bar{\pi}(u)\|_{M_n\otimes\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} \leq \limsup_\alpha \|\mathrm{id}_n \otimes \bar{\pi}(u_\alpha)\|_{M_n\otimes\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} \leq \|\pi\|_{\mathrm{cb}} \|u\|_{M_n\otimes \mathrm{B}_r}$$

so $\|\bar{\pi}\|_{\mathrm{cb}} \leq \|\pi\|_{\mathrm{cb}}$. The converse inequality is trivial. □

The class of groups in the hypotheses below covers some non-QSIN groups, for example the groups mentioned in Remark 2.4 (iii) and (iv). Normality is essential to this particular proof, so we do not capture any of the groups of Remark 2.4 (ii).

Corollary 2.9. *Suppose G admits an amenable open normal subgroup H. Then for any completely bounded homomorphism $\pi : \mathrm{A}(G) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ there is an invertible T in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ for which*

(a) *$\pi_T = T\pi(\cdot)T^{-1}$ is a $*$-representation of $\mathrm{A}(G)$; and*

(b) *$\|T\|\|T^{-1}\| \leq \|\pi\|_{\mathrm{cb}}^6$.*

Proof. We have weak contaiment relation on H given by $1_H \prec \lambda_H$, thanks to amenability of H and Hulanicki's theorem [21]. Hence by Fell's continuity of induction [14] we have on G, weak contaiment $\lambda_{G/H} = \mathrm{ind}_H^G 1_H \prec \mathrm{ind}_H^G \lambda_H = \lambda_G$ where $\lambda_{G/H} : G \to \mathcal{B}(\ell^2(G/H))$ denotes the quasi-regular representation. Hence the Fourier algebra $\mathrm{A}(G/H)$, which may be naturally identified with the space of matrix coefficients of $\lambda_{G/H}$, is a subalgebra of $\mathrm{B}_r(G)$. Hence we may compress π to $\mathcal{H}' = \overline{\pi(\mathrm{A}(G))\mathcal{H}}$, and Lemma 2.8 provides a completely bounded homomorphism $\bar{\pi} : \mathrm{A}(G/H) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}') \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\bar{\pi}(u)\pi(v) = \pi(uv)$ for u in $\mathrm{A}(G/H)$ and v in $\mathrm{A}(G)$.

Since G/H is discrete, $\bar{\pi} : \mathrm{A}(G/H) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ admits an S in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ for which

$$S\bar{\pi}(\cdot)S^{-1} \text{ is a } *\text{-representation, and } \|S\|\|S^{-1}\| \leq \|\bar{\pi}\|_{\mathrm{cb}}^2 \leq \|\pi\|_{\mathrm{cb}}^2 .$$

In particular, if we let for each coset sH in G/H, $E_{sH} = \pi(1_{sH})$, then $P_{sH} = SE_{sH}S^{-1}$ is a projection. We assume that π is non-degenerate, so $\sum_{sH\in G/H} P_{sH} =$

I, in the weak operator topology. Hence $\sum_{sH\in G/H} E_{sH} = \sum_{sH\in G/H} S^{-1}P_{sH}S = I$, too. For each sH in G/H we have that $1_{sH}\mathrm{A}(G) \cong \mathrm{A}(H)$, completely isomorphically. Hence $\pi_{sH} = \pi|_{1_{sH}\mathrm{A}(G)} : 1_{sH}\mathrm{A}(G) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ admits an element S_{sH} in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ for which

$$S_{sH}\pi|_{sH}(\cdot)S_{sH}^{-1} \text{ is a } *\text{-representation, and } \|S_{sH}\|\|S_{sH}^{-1}\| \leq \|\pi\|_{\mathrm{cb}}^2 .$$

We may hence arrange that $\|S_{sH}\| \leq \|\pi\|_{\mathrm{cb}}$ and $\|S_{sH}^{-1}\| \leq \|\pi\|_{\mathrm{cb}}$. Let $Q_{sH} = S_{sH}E_{sH}S_{sH}^{-1}$, and let V_{sH} be a partial isometry for which $V_{sH}^*V_{sH} = Q_{sH}$ and $V_{sH}V_{sH}^* = P_{sH}$.

$$T = \sum_{sH\in G/H} P_{sH}V_{sH}S_{sH}E_{sH} \text{ and } T' = \sum_{sH\in G/H} E_{sH}S_{sH}^{-1}V_{sH}^*P_{sH}$$

where, again, convergence is in weak operator topology. To see that these indeed converge, observe that $T = \left(\sum_{sH\in G/H} P_{sH}V_{sH}S_{sH}S^{-1}P_{sH}\right)S$, and a similar formula holds for T'. Notice, then, that

$$\|T\| \leq \left\|\sum_{sH\in G/H} P_{sH}V_{sH}S_{sH}S^{-1}P_{sH}\right\| \|S\| \leq \sup_{sH\in G/H} \|S_{sH}\|\|S^{-1}\|\|S\| \leq \|\pi\|_{\mathrm{cb}}^3$$

and, likewise, $\|T^{-1}\| \leq \|\pi\|_{\mathrm{cb}}^3$. For each sH in G/H we have $V_{sH}S_{sH}E_{sH}S_{sH}^{-1}V_{sH}^* = V_{sH}P_{sH}V_{sH}^* = P_{sH}$, from which we deduce that $TT' = I$. Similarly, $T'T = I$, so $T' = T^{-1}$. Furthermore, for u in $\mathrm{A}(G)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_T(\bar{u}) &= \sum_{sH\in G/H} P_{sH}V_{sH}S_{sH}\pi(1_{sH}\bar{u})S_{sH}^{-1}V_{sH}^*P_{sH} \\ &= \sum_{sH\in G/H} P_{sH}V_{sH}\left[S_{sH}\pi(1_{sH}u)S_{sH}^{-1}\right]^*V_{sH}^*P_{sH} = \pi_T(u)^*.\end{aligned}$$

Hence T is the desired similarity operator. □

If $\pi : \mathrm{A}(G) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a homomorphism, we may consider two ancilliary homomorphisms, $\check{\pi}, \pi^* : \mathrm{A}(G) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ by $\check{\pi}(u) = \pi(\check{u})$ and $\pi^*(u) = \pi(\bar{u})^*$. Since $\mathcal{CB}(\mathrm{A}(G), \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})) \cong \mathrm{VN}(G)\bar{\otimes}\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ (von Neuman tensor product, see, [12, 7.2.5 & 7.2.4]), if π is completely bounded it may be identified with an operator V_π in $\mathrm{VN}(G)\bar{\otimes}\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, which is called a *corepresentation*. With this notation the following is immediate from [5, Theorems 9 &16].

Corollary 2.10. *Suppose that G is either QSIN, or contains an amenable open normal subgroup. Then for each completely bounded homomorphism $\pi : \mathrm{A}(G) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ we have:*

(i) *$\check{\pi}$ and π^* are completely bounded; and*

(ii) *the associated corepresentation V_π in $\mathrm{VN}(G)\bar{\otimes}\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is invertible and hence similar to a unitary corepresentation.*

In fact, it is shown in [5] that for any locally compact G, either (i) or (ii) implies that π is similar to a $*$-homomorphism. A notable feature of their methods is that they gain a proof, [5, Corollary 10], that the Gelfand spectrum of $\mathrm{A}(G)$ is G, using Wendel's theorem characterizing $\mathrm{M}(G)$ as multipliers of $\mathrm{L}^1(G)$.

Conjecture 2.11. *For any locally compact group, we always have:*

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{A}}(G)_1 \cong \mathcal{C}_0(G) \text{ and } d_{\mathrm{cb}}(\mathrm{A}(G)) \leq 2.$$

Remark 2.12. For a general amenable group G, it is unkown if any bounded homomorphism from $\mathrm{A}(G)$ into $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is automatically completely bounded, unless G is virtually abelian; see [18]. One method of attack would be to determine if $\widetilde{\max \mathrm{A}(G)}_1 = \mathcal{C}_0(G)$, and, if that is true, then see if $m_{2,1} : \max \mathrm{A}(G) \otimes^h \max \mathrm{A}(G) \to \mathcal{C}_0(G)$ is completely surjective. By a characterization of maximal operator spaces, the latter property amounts to verifying whether there is a $K > 0$ such that for each n, any element $[h_{ij}]$ in the open unit ball of $M_n(\mathcal{C}_0(G)) \cong \mathcal{C}_0(G, M_n)$ may be uniformly approximated by elements of the form

$$s \mapsto \left[\sum_{k,l=1}^{m} \alpha_{ik}\beta_{kl}\gamma_{lj} u_k v_l(s) \right] = \alpha \mathrm{diag}(u_1(s), \ldots, u_m(s)) \beta \mathrm{diag}(v_1(s), \ldots, v_m(s)) \gamma$$

where $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\|\alpha\|_{M_{n,m}} \|\beta\|_{M_m} \|\gamma\|_{M_{m,n}} < 1$, and $\|u_k\|_{\mathrm{A}} < K$ and $\|v_k\|_{\mathrm{A}} < K$ for each k. Indeed, this is the Blecher-Paulsen factorization of [32].

3. The case of similarity degree 1

We close by addressing the case when $d_{\mathrm{cb}}(\mathrm{A}(G)) = 1$.

Proposition 3.1. *For a locally compact group G the following are equivalent:*
(i) *G is finite;*
(ii) *$d_{\mathrm{cb}}(\mathrm{A}(G)) = 1$; and*
(iii) *$\mathrm{A}(G)$ is completely isomorphic to an operator algebra.*

Proof. If G is finite, then we know that the inclusion map $j : \mathrm{A}(G) \to \mathcal{C}_0(G)$ is a complete isomorphism, which factors through $\tilde{\mathrm{A}}(G)_1$. This means that $\iota_1 : \mathrm{A}(G) \to \widetilde{\mathrm{A}}(G)_1$ is also a complete surjection (in fact, a complete isomorphism), which implies that $d_{cb}(\mathrm{A}(G)) = 1$. Hence (i) implies (ii) implies (iii).

Let us suppose that $\mathrm{A}(G)$ is completely isomorphic to an operator algebra, which implies that it is Arens regular ([8]). By a result of Forrest ([15, Theorem 3.2]), the Arens regularity tells us that G is discrete. We complete the proof in two distinct ways.

(I) It follows from Theorem 2.5 (or from [5, Theorem 20]) that $\mathrm{A}(G)$ is isomorphic to a C*-algebra, hence has a bounded approximate identity. Thus, by Leptin's theorem [25], G is amenable. But then, [15, Corollary 3.6] tells us that if G is amenable and $\mathrm{A}(G)$ is Arens regular, then G is finite.

(II) For a discrete group G, the result [24, Theorem 5.4], of the first named author and Youn, implies that $\mathrm{A}(G)$ is completely isomorphic to an operator algebra only if the constant function 1 is square integrable, hence G is finite.

In summary, (iii) implies (i). □

We note that the full characterization of those G for which $\mathrm{A}(G)$ is Arens regular remains unsolved. To the authors' knowledge, the strongest result at present is that if $\mathrm{A}(G)$ is Arens regular, then G is a discrete group containing no infinite amenable subgroups; see [15, 16]. Likewise, we do not know of a full characterization of those G for which $\mathrm{A}(G)$ is boundedly isomorphic to an operator algebra.

Combining Theorem 2.5 and the above proposition yields the following.

Corollary 3.2. *For an infinite QSIN group G, $d_{\mathrm{cb}}(\mathrm{A}(G)) = 2$.*

4. Acknowledgements

The authors benefitted from conversations with Y. Choi, who was aware of the techniques used in Remark 2.7 (ii). The third author benefitted from conversations with V.I. Paulsen, from whom he learned the significance of the factorization used in Remark 2.12; and from conversations with J. Crann, who kindly pointed out some errors in an earlier version of Remark 2.4. The authors are also grateful to the anonymous referee who read the paper carefully and suggested many improvements to the exposition.

References

[1] I.N. Bernšteĭn, All reductive p-adic groups are of type I. *Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen.* 8 (1974), no. 2, 3–6; translation in *Functional Anal. Appl.* 8 (1974), 91–93

[2] D.P. Blecher and C. Le Merdy, *Operator algebras and their module–an operator space approach.* London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, 30. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2004.

[3] D.P. Blecher and R.R. Smith, The dual of the Haagerup tensor product. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* 45 (1992), no. 1, 126–144.

[4] M. Brannan, M. Daws and E. Samei, Completely bounded representations of convolution algebras of locally compact quantum groups. *Münster J. Math.* 6 (2013), 445–482.

[5] M. Brannan and E. Samei, The similarity problem for Fourier algebras and corepresentations of group von Neumann algebras. *J. Funct. Anal.* 259 (2010), no. 8, 2073–2097.

[6] E. Breuillard and T. Gelander, A topological Tits alternative. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 166 (2007), no. 2, 427–474.

[7] Y. Choi and E. Samei, Quotients of Fourier algebras, and homomorphisms which are not completely bounded. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 141 (2013), no. 7, 2379–2388.

[8] P. Civin and B. Yood, The second conjugate space of a Banach algebra as an algebra. *Pacific J. Math.* 11 (1961), 847–870.

[9] P.C. Curtis and R.J. Loy, The structure of amenable Banach algebras. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* 40 (1989), no. 1, 89–104.

[10] J. Dixmier, Les moyennes invariantes dans les semi-groups et leurs applications. *Acta Sci. Math. Szeged* 12, (1950). Leopoldo Fejér et Frederico Riesz LXX annos natis dedicatus, Pars A, 213–227.

[11] J. Dixmier, *C*-algebras.* Translated from the French by Francis Jellett. North-Holland Mathematical Library, Vol. 15. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York-Oxford, 1977.

[12] E.G. Effros and Z.-J. Ruan, *Operator spaces.* London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, 23. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 2000.

[13] P. Eymard, L'algèbre de Fourier d'un groupe localement compact. *Bull. Soc. Math. France* 92 (1964), 181–236.

[14] J.M.G. Fell, Weak containment and induced representations of groups. *Canad. J. Math.* 14 (1962), 237–268.

[15] B.E. Forrest, Arens regularity and discrete groups. *Pacific J. Math.* 151 (1991), no. 2, 217–227.

[16] B.E. Forrest, Arens regularity and the $A_p(G)$ algebras. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 119 (1993), no. 2, 595–598.

[17] B.E. Forrest and V. Runde, Amenability and weak amenability of the Fourier algebra. *Math. Z.* 250 (2005), no. 4, 731–744.

[18] B.E. Forrest and P.J. Wood, Cohomology and the operator space structure of the Fourier algebra and its second dual. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 50 (2001), no. 3, 1217–1240.

[19] E.E. Granirer and M. Leinert, On some topologies which coincide on the unit sphere of the Fourier-Stieltjes algebra $B(G)$ and of the measure algebra $M(G)$. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 11 (1981), no. 3, 459–472.

[20] A.Ya. Helemskiĭ, *The homology of Banach and topological algebras.* Translated from the Russian by Alan West. Mathematics and its Applications (Soviet Series), 41. Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1989.

[21] A. Hulanicki, Groups whose regular homomorphism weakly contains all unitary homomorphisms. *Studia Math.* 24 (1964), 37–59.
[22] A.T.-M. Lau and V. Losert, The C*-algebra generated by operators with compact support on a locally compact group. *J. Funct. Anal.* 112 (1993), no. 1, 1–30.
[23] A.T.-M. Lau and A.L.T. Paterson, Inner amenable locally compact groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 325 (1991), no. 1, 155–169.
[24] H.H. Lee and S.-G. Youn, New deformations of convolution algebras and Fourier algebras on locally compact groups, preprint, `arXiv:1508.01092`.
[25] H. Leptin, Sur l'algèbre de Fourier d'un groupe localement compact. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sèr. A-B* 266 (1968), A1180–A1182.
[26] V. Losert and H. Rindler, Asymptotically central functions and invariant extensions of Dirac measure. *Probability measures on groups, VII (Oberwolfach, 1983)*, 368–378, Lecture Notes in Math., 1064, Springer, Berlin, 1984.
[27] L.W. Marcoux and A.I. Popov, Abelian, amenable operator algebras are similar to C*-algebras, (preprint), `arXiv:1311.2982`.
[28] R.D. Mosak, Central functions in group algebras. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 29 (1971), 613–616.
[29] M. Neufang, Z.-J. Ruan and N. Spronk, Completely isometric representations of $M_{cb}A(G)$ and $UCB(\hat{G})$. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 360 (2008), no. 3, 1133–1161.
[30] A.L.T. Paterson, The class of locally compact groups G for which $C^*(G)$ is amenable. *Harmonic analysis (Luxembourg, 1987)*, 226–237, Lecture Notes in Math., 1359, Springer, Berlin, 1988.
[31] V.I. Paulsen, Completely bounded homomorphisms of operator algebras. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 92 (1984), no. 2, 225–228.
[32] G. Pisier, The similarity degree of an operator algebra. *Algebra i Analiz* 10 (1998), no. 1, 132–186; translation in *St. Petersburg Math. J.* 10 (1999), no. 1, 103–146
[33] Z.-J. Ruan, The operator amenability of $A(G)$. *Amer. J. Math.* 117 (1995), no. 6, 1449–1474.
[34] N. Spronk, *On multipliers of the Fourier algebra of a locally compact group*. Thesis (Ph.D.) – University of Waterloo (Canada), 2002.
[35] N. Spronk. Measurable Schur multipliers and completely bounded multipliers of the Fourier algebras. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* 89 (2004), no. 1, 161–192.
[36] R. Stokke, Quasi-central bounded approximate identities in group algebras of locally compact groups. *Illinois J. Math.* 48 (2004), no. 1, 151–170.
[37] N.J. Young, The irregularity of multiplication in group algebras. *Quart J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)* 24 (1973), 59–62.

Addresses:

Department of Mathematical Sciences and Research Institute of Mathematics, Seoul National University, Gwanak-ro 1, Gwanak-gu, Seoul 08826, Republic of Korea

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Saskatchewan, Room 142 McLean Hall, 106 Wiggins Road Saskatoon, sk, S7N 5E6

Department of Pure Mathematics, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, ON, N2L 3G1, Canada.

Email-adresses:
`hunheelee@snu.ac.kr`
`samei@math.usask.ca`
`nspronk@uwaterloo.ca`